

**Private providers' knowledge, attitudes, and misconceptions related to  
long-acting and permanent contraceptive methods:  
A case study in Bangladesh**

Jorge Ugaz\*, Abt Associates Inc., 4550 Montgomery Avenue, Suite 800N, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA.

Kathryn Banke, Abt Associates Inc. Email: Kathryn\_Banke@abtassoc.com

Stephen Rahaim, Abt Associates Inc. Email: rahaim.stephen@gmail.com

Wahiduzzaman Chowdhury, SHOPS Project, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Email: wahid.swapon@gmail.com

Julie Williams, Abt Associates Inc. Email: Julie\_Williams@abtassoc.com

\* Corresponding author. Present address: Mathematica Policy Research. 1100 First Street, NE, DC 20004. (+1) 202-838-3594. [jugazf@yahoo.com](mailto:jugazf@yahoo.com) (Jorge Ugaz)

June 2016

Word count

Abstract: 249 words

Manuscript: 3,200 words

## **Abstract**

### **Objective**

In Bangladesh, use of long-acting and permanent methods of contraception (LAPM) remains stagnant. Providers' limited knowledge and biases may be a factor. We assessed private providers' knowledge, misconceptions, and general attitudes towards LAPM in two urban areas. The ultimate goal is to shape programs and interventions to overcome these obstacles and improve full method choice in Bangladesh.

### **Study design**

Trained data collectors interviewed a convenience sample of 235 female doctors (ob-gyns and general practitioners) and 150 female nurses, from 194 commercial (for-profit) healthcare facilities in Chittagong City Corporation and Dhaka district. Data were collected on the nature of the practice, training received, knowledge about modern contraceptives, and attitudes towards LAPM (including IUDs, implants, female and male sterilization).

### **Results**

All providers, and especially doctors, lacked adequate knowledge regarding side effects for all LAPM, particularly female and male sterilization. Providers had misconceptions about the effectiveness and convenience of LAPM compared to short-acting contraceptive methods. Implants and IUDs were generally perceived more negatively than other methods. The majority of providers believed that husbands favor short-acting methods rather than LAPM, and that women should not use a method that their husbands do not approve of.

### **Conclusions**

Our findings document knowledge and attitudinal barriers among private for-profit providers in urban areas, affecting their provision of accurate information about LAPM choices. Practitioners should be offered the necessary tools to provide women full access to all modern methods, especially LAPM, in order to contribute to decreasing unmet need and improving full method choice in Bangladesh.

### **Implications (Not part of the abstract) – 50 words**

Our study is the first, to our knowledge, to examine and provide evidence of private providers' lack of knowledge, negative attitudes, and misperceptions related to LAPM in Bangladesh. Unless this is carefully addressed, these barriers will stall progress in Bangladesh towards improving access to more modern methods of contraception.

**Keywords:**

Family planning; contraception use; long-acting methods; Bangladesh; private sector

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 In 2012, almost 645 million women in the developing world used modern methods of  
3 contraception [1]; however, regional estimates show only very small increases or plateaus in  
4 modern contraception use from 2008 to 2014 [2]. For example, from 2008 to 2014, modern  
5 method use increased in Asia from 60.9 percent to 61.6 percent, in Latin America from 66.7  
6 percent to 67.0 percent, and in Africa from 23.6 percent to 27.6 percent. Increased access to a  
7 wide range of modern methods of contraception (including oral contraceptive pills (OCs),  
8 implants, injectables, vaginal rings, intrauterine devices (IUDs), condoms, and sterilization,  
9 among others) is a critical component of strategies to improve maternal and child health and  
10 reduce unmet need for modern contraception [2]. Long-acting and permanent methods  
11 (LAPM), which comprise the long-acting and reversible methods of IUDs and implants as well as  
12 the permanent methods of female and male sterilization, are the most effective modern  
13 contraceptive methods and are safe and convenient to use [3,4]. LAPMs are also more reliable  
14 than short-acting contraceptive methods (such as condoms, OCs, and injectables) for delaying,  
15 spacing, and limiting births [5]. Yet, LAPM use is still low in many developing countries, and  
16 national family planning policies and contraceptive security strategies often overlook the  
17 potential role of these methods [4].

18 In Bangladesh, the use of modern contraceptive methods is high—but while 54.1 percent of  
19 married women of reproductive age report using a modern method, just 8.1 percent use LAPM  
20 while the other 46.0 percent use short-acting methods. LAPM use in Bangladesh is low  
21 compared to countries like India, Jordan, or Nepal where 34.1, 16.9, and 22.0 percent of  
22 married women of reproductive age report using LAPM, respectively [6]. Also, LAPM use in  
23 Bangladesh has decreased over the last two decades, accounting for 38.8 percent of all modern  
24 methods in 1991, to 20.5 percent in 2000, 15.4 percent in 2011, and 15.0 percent in 2014 [7].  
25 Reasons for low LAPM uptake are unclear, but may include a variety of factors. On the demand  
26 side, barriers include stigmatization (viewing sterilization as a method for the poor), religious  
27 views that disfavor permanent methods, and concerns about side effects of the IUD and  
28 implants [8,9]. On the supply side, providers sometimes incorrectly apply policy-related  
29 eligibility criteria related to age and marital status, and other non-policy criteria related to

30 parity and a husband's consent [10], according to research in Tanzania [11], Ghana [12], and  
31 Senegal [13]. For Bangladesh, there are indications that inadequate coverage and low-quality  
32 services in the predominantly public-sector program also hinder LAPM uptake [8]. Providers'  
33 lack of knowledge, biases, and misconceptions may also play a role in some settings [14,15].

34 Although the private for-profit sector in Bangladesh (including private hospitals and clinics,  
35 doctors' clinics, and pharmacies) provides 43 percent of all modern contraceptive methods, it  
36 provides only 18 percent of all LAPM [7]. An assessment in Bangladesh found anecdotal  
37 evidence that some private providers did not have the knowledge and training to **provide LAPM**  
38 **effectively**, and that some providers were biased against LAPM [16].

39 To better understand factors affecting LAPM uptake in Bangladesh, we assessed private  
40 providers' knowledge, misconceptions, and general attitudes towards LAPM in two urban areas  
41 in Bangladesh. We explored differences by type of provider, with the goal of developing and  
42 implementing interventions to overcome these obstacles and contribute to decreased unmet  
43 need while improving full method choice in Bangladesh.

## 44 **2. Materials and methods**

45 Between March and June of 2013, we conducted a survey of 385 healthcare providers at  
46 commercial (for-profit) private healthcare facilities in two of the largest urban areas in  
47 Bangladesh: Chittagong City Corporation and Dhaka district. These are the major metropolitan  
48 areas of Chittagong and Dhaka divisions (two of eight total divisions in the country), located in  
49 southeastern and central Bangladesh, respectively. The Strengthening Health Outcomes  
50 through the Private Sector (SHOPS) Project funded this study as part of its exploration of  
51 potential program activities to be implemented in these two areas. The SHOPS Project focused  
52 its efforts primarily on Dhaka, but also planned a smaller set of activities in Chittagong, and thus  
53 conducted this study to gather information relevant to planning project interventions. The  
54 survey targeted three types of health professionals: (i) obstetricians-gynecologists (ob-gyns); (ii)  
55 general practitioners (GPs), including graduate doctors with an MBBS degree, who provide  
56 reproductive health services; and (iii) nurses.

57 For sampling purposes, we compiled lists of facilities in the two urban areas based on the most  
58 currently available information provided by the Directorate General of Health Services, the  
59 Obstetrical and Gynecological Society of Bangladesh, Nuvista Pharmaceutical Company, and  
60 Square Pharmaceuticals. These lists were not comprehensive, and contact information for  
61 providers was not always up-to-date or accurate. We included only facilities considered private  
62 practices. We divided private practices on the lists by size into large (50 or more beds), medium  
63 (10 to 49 beds), and small (fewer than 10 beds, including private clinics). We relied on number  
64 of beds because information on the number of providers at each facility was not available. Then  
65 we gave the data collection teams a list of facilities and a target number of interviews to  
66 complete for each specific geographic area. The data collectors were instructed to select all of  
67 the large facilities on their lists and then a mixture of medium and smaller facilities, with the  
68 objective of having a final sample in which large, medium, and small facilities were  
69 approximately equally represented (i.e. approximately one-third of the sample for each of the  
70 three sizes of facilities). Random sampling was not feasible due to budgetary restrictions,  
71 considering the spread of practices in such large urban areas.

72 The data collection teams approached a total of 202 private practices, and 194 (96 percent)  
73 agreed to allow their health personnel to participate in the survey. No incentive or  
74 reimbursement for participation was offered. Our final sample consisted of providers from 157  
75 facilities, of which 45 (29 percent) were considered large facilities, 48 (31 percent) were  
76 medium-sized facilities, and 64 (41 percent) were small facilities. The data collection teams  
77 were instructed to interview a convenience sample of a maximum of 3 ob-gyns or GPs and 3-4  
78 nurses per facility. Since we did not have a full list of all doctors and nurses for each facility,  
79 randomly choosing interviewees was not possible; we directed the surveyors to interview the  
80 first doctors and nurses who were available and who agreed to take the survey. We limited the  
81 sample to female providers because the vast majority (estimated >95 percent) of ob-gyns and  
82 nurses in Bangladesh are women, and because among the small number of male ob-gyns and  
83 nurses, very few focused exclusively on family planning services and counseling. Out of 280 ob-  
84 gyns and GPs that were approached, 235 (84 percent) agreed to take the survey; all the nurses  
85 agreed to participate. Our final sample consisted of 155 ob-gyns, 80 GPs, and 150 nurses.

86 The interviewers conducted the surveys face-to-face in Bengali. They collected data on the  
87 nature of the practice (size of practice, length of time in private practice), training received,  
88 provider knowledge about LAPM and other modern contraceptives and their side effects, and  
89 attitudes towards LAPM provision (agreement/disagreement with statements on effectiveness,  
90 side effects, and convenience). We performed all analyses using Stata version 14. In all  
91 analyses, we grouped together ob-gyns and GPs (referred to collectively as “doctors”  
92 throughout this paper). We tested for statistical significance for selected variables using regular  
93 t-tests or Chi-square tests.

94 This study received Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval by Research Training and  
95 Management (RTM) International, a local research firm based in Bangladesh that helped with  
96 the data collection. The Institutional Review Board of Abt Associates reviewed the study  
97 protocol and found it to be exempt from federal human subjects’ protection regulation. All  
98 survey respondents provided oral informed consent.

### 99 **3. Results**

100 Table 1 presents the characteristics of the final sample of healthcare providers, by provider  
101 type. The majority worked in facilities in Dhaka district (82 percent of doctors and 83 percent of  
102 nurses), which reflects the proportion of the overall population in those two areas. Compared  
103 to the nurses, doctors were on average older, more concentrated in private clinics, and had  
104 more years working as healthcare providers. Most nurses were working in private hospitals and  
105 private clinics. Almost all (87.7 percent) of all doctors and 33.3 percent of the nurses were  
106 offering family planning counseling at the time of the survey, typically on both short-acting  
107 methods and LAPM. Finally, 42 percent of doctors and 13 percent of nurses had received formal  
108 training for IUDs and implants, and 43 percent of the doctors had been trained in female  
109 sterilization (tubal ligation) or male sterilization (training that was not then available to nurses).  
110 All the doctors reported having heard about each of the modern methods of contraception. All  
111 of the nurses reported having heard about OCs, female sterilization, injectables, and condoms.  
112 However, 3, 4, and 7 percent of nurses had never heard of male sterilization, IUDs, and  
113 implants, respectively.

114 We asked those providers who had heard of a specific LAPM to state its potential side effects.  
115 Correct and incorrect side effects were identified based on WHO's "Family Planning: A Global  
116 Handbook for Providers" [17]. For each method, we tabulated the number of *incorrect* side  
117 effects reported, by type of provider; percentages are shown in Table 2. Both doctors and  
118 nurses provided incorrect answers for female and male sterilization more often than for IUDs  
119 and implants. Nurses on average reported *fewer* incorrect answers than doctors, for each  
120 method. The most common side effects mistakenly reported were mood swings (for both male  
121 and female sterilization) and palpitations and hypertension (for implants and IUDs).

122 To assess misconceptions and attitudes regarding both LAPM and short-acting methods,  
123 providers were asked to agree or disagree with the following two statements, for each method:  
124 (i) [Method X] is *effective at preventing pregnancy*; and (ii) [Method X] is *convenient to use*.  
125 Table 3 shows the proportion that agreed or strongly agreed with each statement, by method  
126 and type of provider.

127 As typically used, the most effective methods for preventing pregnancy are female sterilization  
128 (tubal ligation), implants, and IUDs (all with greater than 99 percent effectiveness), followed by  
129 male sterilization (97–98 percent), injectables (97 percent), OCs (92 percent), and condoms (85  
130 percent) [17]. A large majority of doctors agreed or strongly agreed that male sterilization and  
131 female sterilization were effective, while smaller majorities considered IUDs and implants  
132 effective (87 percent and 89 percent, respectively). Nurses were significantly more likely than  
133 doctors to agree or strongly agree that IUDs and injectables are effective at preventing  
134 pregnancy.

135 LAPM were not generally considered convenient to use. For doctors, the percentage agreeing  
136 or strongly agreeing ranged from just 49.4 percent (IUD) to 66.5 percent (male sterilization);  
137 nurses were even less likely to consider each method convenient to use, ranging from 29.8  
138 percent (IUD) to 49.5 percent (male sterilization).

139 The survey also confirmed the existence of a widespread perception among private providers  
140 that husbands generally favor the use of short-acting methods (OCs and condoms) rather than



141 LAPM; 81 percent of doctors and 88 percent of nurses agreed or strongly agreed with this  
142 statement. In addition, the survey showed that most providers—particularly nurses who often  
143 provide family planning counseling—also believe that women should consider their husband’s  
144 preferences when choosing a family planning method. Fully 66 percent of doctors and 75  
145 percent of nurses felt that a woman should not use a method that her husband does not  
146 approve of. In addition, 84 percent of doctors and 71 percent of nurses believe that they should  
147 have a great deal of influence on their patients’ choice of family planning method. Finally, while  
148 36 percent of doctors and 30 percent of nurses noted that their own religious beliefs affect the  
149 types of methods they recommend, it is worth acknowledging that the majority of providers  
150 disagreed, especially doctors.

#### 151 **4. Discussion**

152 Our findings indicate that inadequate provider knowledge, combined with misconceptions and  
153 negative opinions about LAPM, may contribute to sub-optimal LAPM uptake in Bangladesh. The  
154 following areas could be targeted in future interventions to increase the role of private sector  
155 providers in LAPM provision.

156 *First, providers reported inaccurate information regarding the side effects of each LAPM,*  
157 *particularly for female and male sterilization. Other researchers have found similar results. A*  
158 *survey in Nepal, for example, found that nurses and auxiliary nurse midwives incorrectly*  
159 *associated the use of IUDs with side effects such as ectopic pregnancies, HIV acquisition and*  
160 *sexually transmitted infection acquisition [18]. Incorrect beliefs about serious side effects can*  
161 *interfere with unbiased, accurate counseling. Programs should consider developing and*  
162 *integrating training on LAPM clinical and counseling skills into both public and private medical*  
163 *college coursework and clinical internships, and ensuring that health providers have accurate*  
164 *information about LAPM side effects so that they can provide effective counseling.*

165 *Second, providers had misconceptions about the effectiveness and convenience of LAPM.*  
166 *Notably, 13 percent of the doctors in our survey did not consider IUDs effective at preventing*  
167 *pregnancy—a significantly higher percentage than for nurses. In India, Khan et al. [19] found*

168 that, initially, just 48 percent of **healthcare** providers considered the IUD a very effective family  
169 planning method, and even after training, only 71 percent agreed with this statement. In  
170 Pakistan, however, Agha et al. [20] found that, among providers who had performed more than  
171 45 IUD insertions, knowledge of its effectiveness reached 82 percent. In Bangladesh, in 2011, it  
172 was shown that none of the 18 public **schools** and none of the 45 private medical schools were  
173 teaching about insertion and removal of IUDs or implants as a clinical skill, and some private  
174 initiatives were being planned and developed to address that gap [16]. Future training  
175 initiatives should carefully consider the specific training needs of private providers, including  
176 the need for training to take place in short sessions and often after work hours, and consider  
177 training on insertion and removal of IUDs at high-volume service sites or in private providers'  
178 own practices to shorten the time required for competency. Such training initiatives should also  
179 explore working with professional associations and should use **peer-to-peer** approaches to  
180 supplement providers' exposure to updated technical information and publications. It would be  
181 fruitful to further interview providers who question LAPMs' effectiveness to learn more about  
182 their reasons, and to develop messages and activities to overcome this barrier. Interpersonal  
183 behavior change initiatives with private health providers may be one approach to improve their  
184 perceptions of LAPM and dispel biases based on incorrect or outdated information.

185 Regarding convenience, both doctors and nurses were much less likely to consider **a** LAPM  
186 convenient to use, as compared to short-acting methods. It is possible that, because LAPM  
187 must be provided by trained clinical providers, the up-front costs of commodities and supplies,  
188 along with the time required for the procedure, may be perceived as a barrier. Programs should  
189 work with providers to emphasize the **longer-term** convenience for LAPM clients, in addition to  
190 very high effectiveness for pregnancy prevention. It is also possible that some providers in our  
191 survey misunderstood that convenience was meant to refer to convenience from the clients',  
192 not the providers', point of view; such misunderstanding would inflate these estimates.

193 *Third, most providers felt that women and their husbands prefer short-acting methods over*  
194 *LAPM.* The survey results also showed that private providers may weigh the husband's opinions  
195 regarding family planning more heavily than the woman's opinion, health, or desired family

196 size; many believe that a woman should only use methods her husband approves. Many  
197 doctors also believed that husbands prefer OCs or condoms to LAPM. These attitudes may  
198 make many doctors reluctant to promote or provide LAPM. Research has shown that a woman  
199 is more likely to be a sustained contraceptive user if she is prescribed her preferred method  
200 [21]; however, doctors have cited lack of husband's approval as a reason for denying a woman  
201 access to her preferred method [12]. Our study found that, when suggesting a contraceptive  
202 method, providers more often reported considering a woman's marital status and the method's  
203 ease of use rather than its contraindications; they considered the woman's number of children  
204 more often than the cost of the method to her. These findings suggest that provider training on  
205 LAPM should include not only technical information, but also training in effective counseling  
206 methods and in ethical considerations of patients' needs and preferences.

207 Providers' knowledge level and biases likely affect potential users, who may not be presented  
208 with all LAPM options due to providers' false concerns about side effects, or who may be  
209 reluctant to try certain LAPM based on inaccurate information. In some cases, providers may  
210 also be internalizing their clients' concerns or perceived social norms about methods for  
211 women in different stages of their reproductive life cycle (e.g., newly-married, post-partum,  
212 etc.) Since healthcare providers believe they should have a lot of influence over their clients'  
213 family planning method choice, it is critical to address their biases and misperceptions about  
214 LAPM in order to increase uptake. However, as shown in Pakistan, training alone may not  
215 change provider attitudes and perceptions, and programs should consider other types of  
216 supplemental interventions such as ongoing post-training supportive supervision [20]. A  
217 promising non-training intervention is the use of evidence-based medicine disseminated  
218 through educational outreach visits, workshops, and professional courses.

219 A few limitations should be noted. First, responses were self-reported, which may introduce  
220 bias if practitioners provided some responses that are socially acceptable or considered 'best  
221 practices'. If that were the case, then our results would underestimate the true magnitude of  
222 lack of knowledge and extent of biases and misconceptions regarding LAPM. Second, the  
223 sample is not nationally representative: the results do not reflect the knowledge and attitudes

224 of male providers, or providers in rural areas, or public sector providers. Furthermore, since our  
225 final sample was based on convenience sampling, our findings do not represent the entire  
226 urban areas of Dhaka District and Chittagong City, so the interpretation of our findings and  
227 recommendations should take that into consideration. Third, our study does not examine how  
228 the results might differ between providers with and without recent training; we did not have  
229 sufficiently reliable data to assess that specific distinction. Future studies should collect  
230 information about training history on LAPM from providers so that analyses can explore to  
231 what extent providers with recent training have greater knowledge, fewer misconceptions, and  
232 improved attitudes and behaviors towards LAPM.

233 As emphasized in the Bangladesh National Strategy 2011–2016 [22], private providers  
234 represent a cadre with significant potential to help reduce unintended pregnancies and  
235 maternal mortality rates. Private providers are widely cited as important sources for **short-**  
236 **acting** methods; leveraging this source for LAPM is essential to increasing contraceptive  
237 prevalence and reducing total fertility rates to more advantageous levels for overall  
238 development. Our study is the first, to our knowledge, to examine private providers’  
239 knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions related to LAPM in Bangladesh. The findings reveal  
240 significant knowledge and attitudinal barriers among private providers in urban areas, which  
241 may influence their ability or willingness to provide clients with accurate information about  
242 LAPM choices. Private sector programs designed to increase LAPM provision should work to  
243 address these barriers and to provide practitioners with tools to provide women full access to  
244 all modern methods, helping to address unmet need and improve full method choice in  
245 Bangladesh.

## 246 **Acknowledgements**

247 This study was funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID)  
248 through the Strengthening Health Outcomes through the Private Sector (SHOPS) project,  
249 managed by Abt Associates. Inc. under Cooperative Agreement #GPO-A-00-09-00007. The  
250 views and opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views  
251 or opinions of either the United States Agency for International Development or the

252 Government of the United States. We thank Research Training and Management (RTM)  
253 International for data collection. This manuscript has benefited from inputs by Dr. A.S.A.  
254 Masud, Susan Mitchell, and Minki Chatterji of Abt Associates, and from comments by  
255 stakeholders at the Bangladesh Directorate General of Family Planning, Engender Health Mayer  
256 Hashi Project, The Social Marketing Company, and the Obstetrical and Gynecological Society of  
257 Bangladesh. We also thank Lauren Rosapep and Kinsen Talukder for their contributions, and  
258 Clare Wolfowitz for editing the manuscript.

259 **Conflicts of Interest**

260 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

- 261 [1] Singh S, Darroch JE. Adding it up: costs and benefits of contraceptive services - estimates for  
262 2012. New York: 2012.
- 263 [2] World Health Organization (WHO). Family planning / Contraception Fact Sheet number 351  
264 2015.
- 265 [3] Joshi R, Khadilkar S, Patel M. Global trends in use of long-acting reversible and permanent  
266 methods of contraception: Seeking a balance. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2015.  
267 doi:10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.04.024.
- 268 [4] Wickstrom J, Jacobstein R. Commentary Contraceptive Security : Incomplete Without Long-  
269 Acting and Permanent Methods of Family Planning 2011;42:291–9.
- 270 [5] Trussell J. Contraceptive failure in the United States. *Contraception* 2011;83:397–404.  
271 doi:10.1016/j.contraception.2011.01.021.
- 272 [6] Uguz JI, Chatterji M, Gribble JN, Mitchell S. Regional Trends in the Use of Short- and Long-  
273 Acting Contraception Accessed through the Private and Public Sectors. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2015.
- 274 [7] National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), Mitra and Associates, ICF  
275 International. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Dhaka, Bangladesh and  
276 Rockville, MD [USA]: 2016.
- 277 [8] MEASURE Evaluation. The future of long-acting and permanent methods of contraception in  
278 Bangladesh. Chapel Hill, NC: 2014.
- 279 [9] Mahbub-E-Alam. Overwhelming reasons for high IUD discontinuation in Bangladesh.  
280 *Jahangirnagar Univ J Sci* 2009;32:123–35.
- 281 [10] Tumlinson K, Okigbo CC, Speizer IS. Provider barriers to family planning access in urban Kenya.  
282 *Contraception* 2015;92:143–51. doi:10.1016/j.contraception.2015.04.002.
- 283 [11] Speizer IS, Hotchkiss DR, Magnani RJ, Hubbard B, Nelson K. Do service providers in Tanzania  
284 unnecessarily restrict clients' access to contraceptive methods? *Int Fam Plan Perspect* 2000;26:13–  
285 21. doi:10.2307/2648285.
- 286 [12] Stanback J, Twum-Baah KA. Why do family planning providers restrict access to services? An  
287 examination in Ghana. *Int Fam Plan Perspect* 2001;27:37–41.
- 288 [13] Sidze EM, Lardoux S, Speizer IS, Faye CM, Mutua MM, Badji F. Young women's access to and  
289 use of contraceptives: the role of providers' restrictions in urban Senegal. *Int Perspect Sex Reprod*  
290 *Health* 2014;40:176–83. doi:10.1363/4017614.
- 291 [14] Gutin SA, Mlobeli R, Moss M, Buga G, Morroni C. Survey of knowledge, attitudes and practices  
292 surrounding the intrauterine device in South Africa. *Contraception* 2011;83:145–50.  
293 doi:10.1016/j.contraception.2010.07.009.
- 294 [15] Hohmann HL, Cremer ML, Gonzalez E, M. Knowledge and attitudes about intrauterine devices  
295 among women's health care providers in El Salvador. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 2011;29:198–

- 296 202.
- 297 [16] Rahaim S, Ahmed J, Smith M, Li H. Bangladesh Private Sector Assessment of Long Acting and  
298 Permanent Family Plannin Methods and Injectable Contraceptives. Bethesda, MD: 2011.
- 299 [17] Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, World Health Organization. Family Planning:  
300 A Global Handbook for Providers n.d.
- 301 [18] Chakraborty NM, Murphy C, Paudel M, Sharma S. Knowledge and perceptions of the intrauterine  
302 device among family planning providers in Nepal: a cross-sectional analysis by cadre and sector.  
303 BMC Health Serv Res 2015;15:39. doi:10.1186/s12913-015-0701-y.
- 304 [19] Khan M, Sekhar Kar S, Desai VK, Patel P, Itare BP. Increasing the Accessibility , Acceptability  
305 and Use of the IUD in Gujarat, India Vikas Kishor Desai Pratibha Patel. Washington, D.C.: 2008.
- 306 [20] Agha S, Fareed A, Keating J. Clinical training alone is not sufficient for reducing barriers to IUD  
307 provision among private providers in Pakistan. Reprod Health 2011;8:40. doi:10.1186/1742-4755-  
308 8-40.
- 309 [21] Pariani S, Heer DM, Van Arsdol MDJ. Does choice make a difference to contraceptive use?  
310 Evidence from east Java. Stud Fam Plann 1991;22:384–90.
- 311 [22] Directorate General of Family Planning (DGFP), Ministry of Health and Family Welfare,  
312 Government of Bangladesh. National strategy for improving the uptake of long-acting and  
313 permanent methods in the family planning program. Dhaka, Bangladesh: 2011.

Table 1. Background characteristics of final sample: 385 female healthcare providers from private facilities in selected urban areas in Bangladesh

|  | Total (n=385) |     | Doctors (n=235) |       | Nurses (n=150) |       | p-value <sup>+</sup> |
|--|---------------|-----|-----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------------|
| <b>Location</b>  |               |     |                 |       |                |       |                      |
| Dhaka district   | 318           | 83% | 193             | 82.0% | 125            | 83.3% |                      |
| Chittagong City  | 67            | 17% | 42              | 17.8% | 25             | 16.7% |                      |
| Mean age in years (range)  | 35.1 (21-71)  |     | 39 (24-71)      |       | 29 (21-68)     |       | <0.001               |
| <b>Type of facility</b>  |               |     |                 |       |                |       |                      |
| Private medical college  | 75            | 20% | 40              | 17.0% | 35             | 23.3% |                      |
| Private hospital/clinic  | 188           | 49% | 74              | 31.5% | 114            | 76.0% | <0.001               |
| Small private clinic   | 122           | 32% | 121             | 51.5% | 1              | 0.7%  |                      |
| <b>Years working as a healthcare provider</b>                    |               |     |                 |       |                |       |                      |
| Less than 5 years  | 109           | 28% | 53              | 22.6% | 56             | 37.3% |                      |
| 6-10 years   | 108           | 28% | 49              | 20.9% | 59             | 39.3% |                      |
| 11-15 years  | 66            | 17% | 47              | 20.0% | 19             | 12.7% | <0.001               |
| 16-20 years  | 33            | 9%  | 28              | 11.9% | 5              | 3.3%  |                      |
| 20 or more years   | 69            | 18% | 58              | 24.7% | 11             | 7.3%  |                      |
| Mean years as healthcare provider                                | 10.6          |     | 12.6            |       | 7.4            |       | <0.001               |
| <b>Practitioners offering family planning counseling</b>         |               |     |                 |       |                |       |                      |
|  | 256           | 66% | 206             | 87.7% | 50             | 33.3% | <0.001               |
| <b>Types of methods they provide counseling on:</b>              |               |     |                 |       |                |       |                      |
| Only short-acting methods  | 24            | 9%  | 16              | 7.9%  | 8              | 16.0% |                      |
| Only LAPM  | 6             | 2%  | 4               | 2.0%  | 2              | 4.0%  | <0.001               |
| Both types of methods  | 226           | 88% | 186             | 90.1% | 40             | 80.0% |                      |
| <b>Received formal training for IUDs and implants</b>            |               |     |                 |       |                |       |                      |
| Yes  | 119           | 31% | 99              | 42%   | 20             | 13%   | <0.001               |
| No   | 266           | 69% | 136             | 58%   | 130            | 87%   |                      |
| <b>Received formal training for female or male sterilization</b> |               |     |                 |       |                |       |                      |
| Yes  |               |     | 101             | 43%   | -              | -     |                      |
| No   |               |     | 134             | 57%   | -              | -     |                      |

<sup>+</sup> p-value of the difference (means or distribution) between doctors and nurses.



Table 2. Knowledge about LAPM side effects: number of incorrect side effects reported by type of provider and method (%)

| Number of incorrect answers | Implant         |        | IUD             |        | Female sterilization |        | Male sterilization |        |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|
|                             | (p-value=0.003) |        | (p-value=0.139) |        | (p-value<0.001)      |        | (p-value<0.001)    |        |
|                             | Doctors         | Nurses | Doctors         | Nurses | Doctors              | Nurses | Doctors            | Nurses |
| None                        | 54              | 71     | 67              | 76     | 23                   | 44     | 38                 | 66     |
| One                         | 32              | 21     | 21              | 15     | 26                   | 20     | 26                 | 14     |
| Two or more                 | 14              | 8      | 12              | 8      | 51                   | 36     | 36                 | 20     |

Note: p-values of the difference between doctors (n=235) and nurses (n=150) in the distribution of number of incorrect answers for each method.

Table 3. Proportion of providers agreeing with specific statements regarding each method (%)

|                      | Effective at preventing pregnancy |        |         |                      | Convenient to use |        |         |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|--------|---------|
|                      | Doctors                           | Nurses | p-value |                      | Doctors           | Nurses | p-value |
| Male sterilization   | 96.0                              | 94.4   | 0.482   | Male sterilization   | 66.5              | 49.5   | 0.003   |
| Female sterilization | 94.5                              | 94.4   | 0.979   | Female sterilization | 55.1              | 43.1   | 0.025   |
| Pill                 | 90.6                              | 88.0   | 0.408   | Pill                 | 89.8              | 87.8   | 0.537   |
| Implant              | 88.8                              | 90.2   | 0.707   | Implant              | 58.8              | 40.9   | 0.002   |
| Injectable           | 88.5                              | 94.5   | 0.048   | Injectable           | 94.5              | 93.1   | 0.588   |
| IUD                  | 87.2                              | 93.5   | 0.063   | IUD                  | 49.4              | 29.8   | <0.001  |
| Condom               | 76.6                              | 78.7   | 0.636   | Condom               | 88.5              | 91.0   | 0.436   |

Note: p-value of the difference of means between doctors (n=235) and nurses (n=150).

Table 4. Doctors' (ob-gyns and GPs) and nurses' opinions regarding family planning and LAPM (%)

| Statement   |         | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree <sup>a</sup> | p-value |
|---|---------|----------------|-------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| Women prefer to use OCs or condoms over LAPM.   | Doctors | 45             | 34    | 14      | 6                     | 0.226   |
|   | Nurses  | 52             | 36    | 7       | 5                     |         |
| Husbands prefer women to use OCs or condoms over LAPM.  | Doctors | 39             | 42    | 9       | 10                    | 0.192   |
|   | Nurses  | 48             | 40    | 6       | 5                     |         |
| Women consider the opinions of female relatives and friends when choosing a family planning method.   | Doctors | 26             | 21    | 40      | 13                    | 0.268   |
|   | Nurses  | 30             | 22    | 32      | 15                    |         |
| Women take into consideration the opinion of their husband in choosing a family planning method.      | Doctors | 44             | 43    | 12      | 1                     | 0.109   |
|   | Nurses  | 52             | 41    | 5       | 1                     |         |
| If the husband does not approve of a family planning method, then the woman should not use it.        | Doctors | 31             | 35    | 23      | 10                    | 0.286   |
|   | Nurses  | 34             | 41    | 14      | 9                     |         |
| Religious beliefs affect the types of family planning methods that I recommend to my patients.        | Doctors | 16             | 20    | 14      | 49                    | 0.005   |
|   | Nurses  | 15             | 15    | 23      | 41                    |         |
| Doctors/nurses who offer LAPM services have a negative image in the medical community in Bangladesh.  | Doctors | 3              | 3     | 8       | 85                    | <0.001  |
|   | Nurses  | 4              | 5     | 19      | 67                    |         |
| Healthcare providers should have a lot of influence on their patients' family planning method choice. | Doctors | 52             | 32    | 6       | 10                    | 0.003   |
|   | Nurses  | 39             | 32    | 17      | 12                    |         |

<sup>a</sup> Combines the proportion of respondents who chose either "Strongly disagree" or "Disagree".

Note: p-value of the difference of means between doctors (n=235) and nurses (n=150).