Sustaining Quality Improvement: The Role of Facility Recognition

Rebecca Furth Initiatives Inc. 2005

Presentation Purpose

 To describe the use of recognition to sustain the effects of quality improvement and its relevance to the private sector

Goal of PHCI Project in Jordan

 To create a sustainable system for quality improvement in a primary health care program

Objectives

- To test a process and tools for QI
- To incorporate a strategy for scale up to 200/350 health centers
- To build QI capacity at all MOH levels to sustain and continue scaleup
- To develop national quality monitoring systems and capacity to use them

Guiding Principles

- Foster dependence on data for planning, monitoring, and solving problems,
- Identify, analyse and monitor processes
- Create enabling structures for leadership and commitment
- Incorporate client needs in the design and implementation of services
- Develop self-sufficiency in implementing quality improvements

Quality Improvement Steps

- o Infrastructure:
 - Establish QI structure at facility, health directorate (HD) and central level
 - Identify and support champions
- o Standards:
 - Develop PHC standards of care
 - Strengthen monitoring role of HD Quality Assurance Coordinators (QACs)
- O PI Tool:
 - Defines elements and indicators to measure and monitor quality
- o Data:
 - Strengthen collection and use of data at all levels
 - Identify, monitor and report on status of HC health service priorities i.e. chronic conditions, MCH services

Motivating Factors

- Team membership status
- Peer sharing seminars
- Training and certificates
- External visits
- Publication & Promotion
- Recognition Plaques at public ceremonies
- Study tours



Impact of Quality Improvements on Zarqa Al Jedidah Health Center

RH Utilization	2001	2002	2003
PPC Clients	3	19	81
FP Clients	360	475	682
ANC Clients	236	273	334
PHC Utilization	27,677	28,266	33,899

The Quality Plaque: Foundation

- Presence of Quality Champions
- Trained and Empowered QA Cs & Health Directors
- Knowledge and skill in dissecting processes into measurable steps
- Cognizant of need for evidencebased data
- Quality Directorate leadership and facilitation

Other Enabling Factors

- Branded logo
- Physical Improvements
- Client awareness of QI and quality logo
- Project technical inputs and funding
- Competition among HDs
- History of public ceremonies
- Established and disseminated Standards of Care

The Quality Plaque - Process

- Collaboration between Project & MOH (Quality Units & Quality Directorate)
- Developed a two step process
 - Presence of basic quality inputs = plaque
 - Achievement of health service objectives = stars



Plaque

- Covered 8 elements: e.g. access, safety, training, standards, IP
- Identified standards to be met
- Used standardized tool to assess and score the performance of each site.
- Established minimum acceptable scores for each section and overall performance
- Prepared experienced QA Coordinator teams to conduct assessment across directorates

Results and Achievements

- 139/200 HCs awarded plaques in 3 rounds
- Demonstrated MOH capacity to establish standards and measure HC performance.
- Power of quality recognition as a unifying concept for administrative & service delivery units at MOH
- Value to private sector demonstrated by request of IPPF affiliate for guidance on recognition process.



The Minister of Health presents a a Health Center Manager a plaque on the National Day of Quality

Stars – Stage Two

- Plaque can accommodate up to 5 stars
- Intent is to ensure award is based on continual and incrementally more advanced criteria
- Concept is to set output indicators, e.g.
 % increase in ANC clients
- Some indicators and a process are being field tested and analyzed
- Outstanding issues include: consensus on indicators, objective criteria and a monitoring system

Recognition: Incentive or Constraint

- Increases public awareness of quality
- Encourages continual improvement for facility & staff
- Establishes a foundation for certification & accreditation

- Can lead to Complacency
- Hard to remove recognition
- Labor intensive
- Requires continual re-monitoring
- Needs transparency to ensure credibility

Issues for Private Sector

- Who are the providers?
- Will QI increase market share?
- Is there a regulatory body to set and assess/re-assess standard compliance?
- What opportunities for collaboration can exist between private/public sector?
 - Opportunity for contracting out
 - Availability of reduced-cost commodities
 - Potential for accredited CME training
 - Potential for joint training